

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

71001033	P.O. Box 1	1450 a, Virginia	22313-1450	
	•			

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,188		08/31/2004	Manfred Gilbert	LWEP:125US	5187
24041	7590	04/26/2006		EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
5555 MAIN WILLIAM		T NY 14221-5406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-	,			2872	
				•	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ν					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/711,188	GILBERT ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Joshua L. Pritchett	2872						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 13-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-12 is/are objected to. 								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	• •						
Application Papers		٧.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/05,1/05 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark (US 4,482,221).

Regarding claim 1, Clark discloses a microscope stand (10) a microscope stage (22) at least one objective (20) that in a working position defines an optical axis (Fig. 1); a focusing device (24) having at least one operating element (34, 38 and 104) that is provided on the microscope stand (Figs. 1 and 2); wherein the operating element generates a relative motion between the objective and the microscope stage in the direction of the optical axis (col. 2 lines 20-29) and the operating element sits on a first shaft (30) of the focusing device and a side wall (14) is defined by the microscope, wherein the focusing device is modifiable in terms of its position within the microscope stand in such a way that the spatial arrangement of the at least one operating element on the side wall of the microscope stand is adjustable (col. 3 lines 40-52). As the lever (104) moves the spatial arrangement between the lever and the microscope side wall is changed.

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 2, Clark discloses the spatial arrangement of the operating element on the microscope stand is adjustable substantially in a direction parallel to the optical axis (col. 5 lines 29-32).

Regarding claim 3, Clark discloses a support carriage (25) is provided with which the focusing device and the operating element are associated (Fig. 2) and which slides along a guide provided on the microscope stand (col. 2 lines 36-40).

Regarding claim 4, Clark discloses the guide for the support carriage comprises a plain guide (Fig. 1). The examiner interprets the rectangular opening shown in Fig. 1 on the side of the microscope stand as a plain guide.

Regarding claim 16, Clark discloses at least one means (38) provided with which the focusing device can be immobilized on the microscope stand (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 shows that the spatial arrangement between the microscope stand and the element (38) is fixed.

Regarding claim 17, Clark discloses the operating element comprises a course (38) and/or a fine (34) drive.

Regarding claim 18, Clark discloses the two operating element are provided on each of the two side walls of the microscope stand (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 shows drives (38 and 34) on one side and drives (32 and 36) on the other side of the microscope.

Regarding claim 19, Clark discloses a holding element (25) on which the microscope stage is movable, is provided on the microscope stand (Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2872

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark (US 4,482,221) in view of Franz (DE 3607379).

Clark teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to electronic signals. Franz teaches a first shaft (8) of the focusing device is equipped with a coding disk that contacts a sensor element (electronic bit generator) which converts into electrical signals the rotation of the first shaft generated by the operating element (abstract). Franz further teaches the sensor element is a light barrier that is immovably connected to the focusing device (Fig. 1). The electronic bit generator is fixed within the console (7) and would not be transmissive to light. Franz further teaches at least one motor (6) that effects the relative motion between the objective and the microscope stage is provided in the microscope stand (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Clark reference include the electronic signals of Franz for the purpose of remotely actuating the focusing mechanism which would allow the operator to keep his hands, which could possible interfere with the illumination source, away from the microscope.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 5-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 5, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the operating element

adjustable substantially in the vertical and horizontal direction by means of a curved elongated

hole.

The remaining claims depend from claim 5 and are allowable for the same reasons.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP W

DREWA. DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER